Dear DLC Stakeholders:

The DLC is pleased to release a second draft of the DLC Surveillance Testing Policy for stakeholder comment. Below is a high-level summary of clarifications and changes to the current policy. Items in bold are specific areas where the DLC is interested in feedback.

Comment Forms (New!)

The DLC now requires all comments to be submitted using DLC Comment Forms. Please download the Comment Form for the DLC Surveillance Testing Policy on the DLC Surveillance Testing webpage, or below. Comment Forms should be submitted to info@designlights.org and will be accepted through Wednesday, June 20, 2018.

• Surveillance Testing Policy Comment Form

Summary of Changes:

Product Selection and Procurement:

• Products will typically be procured directly from the manufacturer.
• A manufacturer that has three selections in a calendar year, all of which yield passing results, is exempt from having another product selected for nine months (from the results of the third passing product).
• By accepting a selection, manufacturers indicate that the product can be procured within eight weeks (unless otherwise agreed upon).
• Establishes that the DLC may select products randomly for testing as a baseline.
• Increased guidance to manufacturers about voluntarily de-listing products from the QPL.

Product Testing and Evaluation:

• Table 2 has been revised to only include light output, system wattage, and CRI.
• Products requiring testing in a reference housing will have the housing selected by the DLC from the approved reference housing list. Manufacturers may provide a preferred listed reference housing at their own cost.
• Clarification provided on how tolerances work with allowances.
• “Dual Mode” products (UL Type A and B) will be tested using a ballast.
• A product must fall within +/- 1 ANSI bin from qualification for CCT to be considered compliant with Table 1.

Consequences and Appeals:

• Clarified general intent and limitations of consequences from the program.
• Specified consequences for non-compliance outside of product testing (e.g. missing published deadlines, declining selection, etc.).
• Guidance provided on re-listing products.
• Examples of inadequate appeals provided.

Safety Certification Verification:

• New section that addresses compliance of safety coverage requirement resulting from the SSL V4.3 Technical Requirements changes.

If you have any questions about the draft requirements outlined above, please email info@designlights.org. We appreciate your comments and feedback.

Best regards,

The DLC Team