



1	Surveillance Testing Policy
2	Horticultural Lighting Version 3.0
3	DRAFT 1
4	Proposed Effective Date:

# Products selected after October 1, 2023

## 6 **Objective**

5

17

18

19

20

- 7 The DLC Surveillance Testing Program actively monitors the validity of data and other information
- 8 submitted to the DLC Horticultural Lighting Qualified Products List (Hort QPL) to protect the integrity
- 9 and value of the QPL for all stakeholders. This policy outlines the process for selection of products from
- 10 the QPL for surveillance testing and for verifying the safety certification documentation. The DLC may
- 11 seek to implement additional efforts toward these objectives in future policy development cycles.

## 12 Surveillance Testing Program Processes

### 13 A. Product Selection

# 141. To maximize the use of resources, the surveillance program will focus primarily on identifying15products with higher-than-average risk of non-compliance. The following criteria will be16considered during the selection process to identify these products:

- Products whose performance is close to meeting the tolerance of the Technical Requirements under which they were qualified (e.g., a DC-Powered product will be evaluated against the DC-specific requirements).
- b. Products whose performance greatly exceeds the Technical Requirements.
- 21c.Listed products with past application issues, including, but not limited to, test reports22with reporting issues that question the validity of the test data, supplemental



23 24		documentation with issues that question the validity of the documentation, and indications of product misrepresentation.
25 26		d. Complaints from stakeholders. Complaints require substantiation before being considered as valid selection criteria.
27 28 29		<ul> <li>Products of manufacturers that have chosen not to participate in the surveillance testing investigation after being selected in previous surveillance testing rounds (see section B.2.).</li> </ul>
30 31		<ol> <li>Products of manufacturers that have a history of failing results from previous surveillance testing rounds.</li> </ol>
32		g. Products randomly selected from the QPL.
33 34 35 36 37	2.	The frequency and the number of products selected through the Surveillance Testing Program for each round of testing is at the sole discretion of the DLC. Product selection may focus on one of the criteria above or several. Regardless of the selection criteria, the metrics reported in the testing will remain constant, depending on the type of test ordered (integrating sphere/goniophotometer).
38 39 40 41	3.	As always, manufacturers may voluntarily delist their products from the QPL at any time without benalty. In relation to the Surveillance Testing Program, this delisting must occur <i>prior</i> to being selected for testing to avoid potential consequences. Please email <u>applications@designlights.org</u> for more information on delisting products.
42 43 44 45		Manufacturers should factor in their product performance data and possible risk for failure to determine if voluntarily removing products from the QPL prior to being selected is appropriate. For example, products that qualified using tolerances to meet the Technical Requirements may carry a higher risk of not meeting the Technical Requirements during surveillance testing.
46 47 48 49	4.	f a product and/or component necessary for testing is not available for procurement at the time of selection (i.e., it is no longer for sale/manufactured), it will be considered declining to participate. Exceptions will be considered for made-to-order products. Products that are no onger sold should be proactively removed from the QPL by the manufacturer.
50 51 52	5.	Products cannot be subject to "double jeopardy". If a product has been tested and passes through the Surveillance Testing Program and has not been updated in any manner, it will not be selected again.
53 54 55	6.	Manufacturers who have three selections (or more) that all yield passing results within two consecutive rounds of surveillance testing will be granted an exemption from selection during the following round. This temporary exemption is estimated to last approximately 6-12 months.
56 57 58	7.	Both original equipment manufacturer (OEM) and private labeled products are eligible for selection. All manufacturers, OEM and private labeler alike, are responsible for the data on the QPL associated with their products.
59		



#### 60 **B. Notification to Selected Manufacturer**

61 The DLC will notify the selected manufacturer by email using the contact information provided in 62 the DLC Application Portal. If a given manufacturer account has multiple users, all users registered 63 to the account will be notified. If a selection is accepted, only the manufacturer-designated contacts 64 will be contacted for the remainder of that selection.

The selected manufacturer will have ten business days from the date of notification to respond to the selection email. Selected manufacturers have two options in responding: accept the selection and continue with the surveillance testing process, or decline the selection, which will result in the selected product and associated products being removed from the QPL. See <u>Section F</u> for further details.

If no response is received within ten business days, or if there is no anticipated action taken by the
 manufacturer as determined by the DLC, the selected product and associated products will be
 delisted. See <u>Section F</u> for further details. Selected manufacturers may seek additional information
 about the selection during this ten-day period; however, action will be taken on the tenth day of the
 period.

75 1. Accepting the Selection

76

- a. If the selected manufacturer agrees to move forward, the investigation will begin.
- b. Accepting the selection indicates that the product can be procured within a
  reasonable timeframe (eight weeks unless otherwise agreed upon with the DLC at the
  time of acceptance).
- 80 2. Declining the Selection
- 81a.The selected manufacturer has the option to decline to participate, which will result in82the product and all associated products being removed from the QPL. For further83information on consequences, see Section F.
- 84 C. Invoice and Procurement

Products undergoing investigation will remain confidential between the selected manufacturer,
testing lab, and the DLC. Outside parties, including other manufacturers, distributors, and other end
users will not have access to investigation information. DLC Member utilities may have access to
limited information.

89 1. Invoicing

90

91

- a. After the DLC receives completed acceptance documentation, an invoice will be sent to the manufacturer to cover surveillance program costs.
- 92b.If the invoice is not paid within 30 days, the product, as well as any associated93products, will be removed from the QPL. See Section F for further information on94consequences. Any issues paying within the allotted timeframe must be discussed95with the DLC upon receipt of the invoice.



96 97		c. Procurement information will not be sent until the invoice for that selection has been paid and processed.
98 99		d. Manufacturers opting for a wire transfer must pay the fees associated with the transfer of funds.
100	2.	Product Procurement
101 102		a. The DLC may procure products from any number of sources, but will primarily procure directly from the manufacturer.
103 104		b. The number of samples required for surveillance testing will be equivalent to the number needed in the original qualification testing, unless otherwise stated.
105 106 107 108	3.	If chosen, manufacturers are required to supply the product as it would be supplied to a customer. It should be identical to what a customer would receive and go through the same internal processes. Supplying a sample(s) which does not meet these criteria may result in the selected product being found non-compliant (with associated consequences).
109 110		<ul> <li>Samples used for surveillance testing shall not be the same samples tested and submitted previously for qualification.</li> </ul>
111		b. Product prototypes or "engineering samples" may not be used for surveillance testing.
112 113 114 115	4.	Any components required between the mains and the product (such as a ballast for a UL Type A linear replacement lamp) must also be supplied to the lab by the selected manufacturer during the procurement phase. To minimize confusion, these components should be shipped at the same time as the product.
116 117 118	5.	Actively cooled products will be tested in accordance with the manufacturer's externally supplied circulating liquid specifications. The DLC may request additional information or any necessary components in order to perform the required testing.
119 120 121 122	6.	Products are expected to be shipped within eight weeks of procurement information being sent. Products expected to take more than eight weeks must be disclosed to the DLC at the time of accepting the selection, and an explanation must be provided. In certain cases, a substitution may be allowed at the sole discretion of the DLC surveillance team.
123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130	7.	An OEM who does not stock the product or does not otherwise have the samples required for testing may arrange (of their own accord) to have the equivalent model from one of their private labelers procured and tested instead. Given the same scenario, private labelers may also have the equivalent OEM product procured and tested instead. In either case, the selected manufacturer must inform the surveillance testing team prior to, or within five business days of, receiving procurement information. The DLC will confirm that this is acceptable, pending review of the Private Label Agreements on file from original DLC qualification.
131	8.	Manufacturers must select one of two options for their product after testing is complete:
132		a. The product is returned (at manufacturer expense).

DL

133	b. The product is destroyed and discarded by the laboratory.
134	If an option is not specified by the time testing is complete, the DLC reserves the right to
135	dispose of the product.
100	dispose of the product.
136	D. Product Testing and Evaluation
137	Product Testing Procedures
138	1. Testing will be conducted only by pre-approved labs contracted by the DLC for surveillance
139	testing. Approved laboratories were determined by responding to a request for proposal (RFP)
140	issued by the DLC. Specific lab locations will be chosen for any individual investigation at the
141	DLC's discretion.
142	2. The metrics to be tested will be dependent on the type of test (integrating sphere or
143	goniophotometer) being used.
144	3. Dual Mode products (UL Type A or B) will be tested using an approved ballast. The ballast
145	shall be sent by the manufacturer with the product, as described in <u>Section C.4</u> .
146	4. The test lab will look for any obvious signs that the product is not performing as intended
147	(e.g., inability to stabilize the product). The manufacturer will be notified in those cases and
148	testing will resume once the issue has been resolved. This may necessitate procurement of
149	new samples (at manufacturer expense).

#### 150 **Product Evaluation**

151 The DLC will evaluate every product against two tables. **Table 1** is used to verify that the product 152 meets the Technical Requirements. **Table 2** is used to ensure that the product not only meets the 153 Technical Requirements, but also lists accurate information on the QPL. A snapshot of the QPL will 154 be taken at the time of selection, and that data will be used as a comparison to the data taken 155 during surveillance testing. Any effort to update a selected product after notification will not be 156 considered unless agreed upon with the DLC prior to the update.

157 **Table 1:** Verifying the Product Meets the Technical Requirements

Metric	Tolerance
PPF Output	-10%
PPE	-5%
Power Factor	-3%
THD	+5%
PPID	-5% at all angles
Spectral output	-10% within all 100nm buckets (400-500nm, 500-600nm, and 600-700nm)
Beam Angle (linear replacement lamps and 2G11 lamps only)	-5°



158

#### Table 2: Verifying Accuracy of QPL Product Data

IV	letric	Tolerance
PP	PF Output	-9.6%
Sy	stem Wattage	+12.7%
59 50 <b>1</b> 51 52 53	product qualified is different than t	ed for potential product misrepresentation (i.e., the the product received during surveillance testing). This will or qualification, and may include review of spec sheets
54 55 56	are alike. As an example, a	of spec sheets, no two cases of product misrepresentation product with a form factor that has changed since allowed under the Surveillance Testing Policy.
57 2 58	<ol> <li>Upon completion of testing, the D (above) will be applied to the test</li> </ol>	LC will review the results. The established tolerances data to verify compliance.
59	a. When reviewing against Ta	ble 1:
70 71 72		Il have tested data reviewed. Reported data of parent be non-compliant with policy will be corrected outside of g.
73	ii. Child products will	be evaluated against reported data only.
74	b. When reviewing against Ta	ble 2:
75 76 77	reviewed. These pr	Il have both the tested and reported data listed on the QP roducts will only be considered non-compliant if they fail to plerances for both the tested <i>and</i> reported data.
78	ii. Child products will	be evaluated against reported data only.
79 <u>:</u> 30		e DLC will notify the manufacturer of the results with a testing. The outcomes are as follows:
31 32		eds the DLC Technical Requirements and tests within Table s considered compliant, and no further action is needed.
33 34		e DLC Technical Requirements when using Table 1 considered non-compliant. See <u>Section F</u> for consequences
35 36	•	Technical Requirements but falls outside Table 2 considered non-compliant. See <u>Section F</u> for consequences

#### 187 E. Appeals

1881. The selected manufacturer will have the option to appeal the results. This process must be189started within five business days of receiving the results from the DLC. Any fees required to190investigate the appeal will be at the sole responsibility of the manufacturer requesting the



191 192 193 194	appeal. Appeals are only applicable to the results of testing; there is no appeal process for the consequences enforced. The product(s) may be delisted from the QPL upon failure and during the appeals process. If the original ruling is overturned, the product(s) will return to the QPL with the original date of qualification at the conclusion of the appeal.
195	2. An appeal must include:
196 197	a. Sufficient detail (with technical justification) that addresses the reason for questioning the validity of the test results, as well as a remedy to the situation.
198 199 200	b. Agreement to pay the fees associated with the appeal. Fees will be based on administrative cost of the appeal and the fees associated with any additional required testing or product procurement to resolve the appeal.
201 202	3. The following are some examples of items that will not be considered during the appeals process:
203	a. Manufacturers indicating a change to a supplier's process.
204	b. The wrong product was sent.
205	c. Different test data on the same product with no technical justification.
206 207 208	4. The DLC will review the appeal and reserves the right to ask for additional information or to reject the appeal if sufficient information to explain the situation cannot be provided. Appeals will either be:
209 210 211 212	a. Accepted: An accepted appeal may require additional product testing. If so, the procedures listed above (for procurement and testing) will be repeated. Any new test results will be used to make a final determination of the tested product's performance.
213 214	<ul> <li>Rejected: If an appeal is rejected, the original failure ruling will stand, and the product(s) will remain delisted from QPL.</li> </ul>
215 216	5. The manufacturer will be notified at the end of the appeals process as to the results of the appeal. Appeal results are final.
217 218	<ol><li>Products will not be returned to the manufacturer until the entirety of the process, including appeals, has concluded.</li></ol>
219	F. Consequences

The following is a summary of consequences that may be implemented due to non-compliance with DLC policy. Additional consequences may be imposed at the discretion of the DLC. The intent of any consequence is to ensure that products that have been listed with unreliable data on the QPL are subject to appropriate corrective actions.

#### 224 Non-Compliance Due to Product Testing

1. The selected product fails to meet the DLC Technical Requirements using Table 1 tolerances:



226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233	a. <b>First instance</b> : A product that fails surveillance testing for the first time will be removed from the QPL. Products associated with the failed product will also be delisted; this includes all family members (regardless of whether the selected product was a parent or child product) and private labels. If the selection was a private labeled product, this means that the equivalent OEM product, as well as any other equivalent private labels, will be delisted. DLC Members will have access to generalized information about products that have been removed from the QPL due to surveillance testing.
234 235 236 237	b. Second instance: All first instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to 12 months. A suspension prohibits manufacturers from submitting or qualifying any products during that timeframe.
238 239 240	c. Third instance: All first and second instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer's remaining products on the QPL, including private labels, may be delisted until compliance is assured.
241 242	2. Selected product falls outside Table 2 tolerances, but still meets DLC Technical Requirements:
243	a. First instance:
244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253	i. <b>Parent Product</b> : The manufacturer is required to update the individual product on the QPL (at the full application fee), or may opt to have the product delisted. If the manufacturer chooses to update the product, an <u>update application</u> must be submitted within 15 business days of receiving the results. If this time elapses without an update application being submitted, all associated child products and private labels (if selected product was an OEM) will be delisted. If selected product was a private labeled product, the OEM's product will not automatically be delisted. The selected product's family, as well as the equivalent family from any private labeler, may be flagged for additional screening in a future round of testing.
254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263	<ul> <li>ii. Child Product: The manufacturer is required to update the individual product on the QPL (at the full application fee), or may opt to have the product delisted. If the manufacturer chooses to update the product, an update application must be submitted within 15 business days of receiving the results. If this time elapses without an update application being submitted, the product will be delisted. If selected product was a private labeled product, the OEM's product will not automatically be delisted. The selected product's family, as well as the equivalent family from any private labeler, may be flagged for additional screening in a future round of testing.</li> <li>If a child product fails the Table 2 requirements and the data</li> </ul>
264 265	demonstrates that it should become the new worst-case product in the family (i.e., it should be a parent), the whole family will be



266 267 268	delisted. The manufacturer must <u>submit an update application</u> to ensure compliance. New model numbers are not required. The new family may be flagged for additional screening in a future round.
269 270	b. <b>Second instance</b> : All first instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to three months.
271 272 273	c. Third instance: All first and second instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer's remaining products on the QPL, including private labels, may be delisted until compliance is assured.
274 275 276	<ol> <li>Selected product meets or exceeds the DLC Technical Requirements, and tests within tolerances listed in Table 2 above: No action taken. The manufacturer may opt to <u>update</u> <u>the product</u> at their discretion. Normal application fees will apply.</li> </ol>
277	Non-Compliance Outside of Product Testing (During Surveillance Testing Selection)
278	1. Manufacturer declines to move forward with the selection:
279	a. First time declining:
280 281 282	i. <b>OEM</b> : The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. Any delisted products will have their associated private labeled products delisted.
283 284	ii. <b>Private Labeler</b> : The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. OEM products will not be delisted.
285 286	iii. <b>Both:</b> Increased likelihood of another product from the manufacturer being chosen for surveillance testing.
287 288 289	b. Second time declining: All first-time declining consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to six months, including delisting of other products currently listed on the QPL.
290 291	2. Manufacturer misses a published deadline (response to notification, invoice deadline, procurement deadline, etc.):
292 293 294	a. <b>OEM</b> : The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. Any delisted products will have their associated private labeled products delisted.
295 296	b. <b>Private Labeler</b> : The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. OEM products will not be delisted.
297 298 299	c. Multiple missed deadlines (OEM or Private Labeler): All first-time consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to six months, including delisting of other products currently listed on the QPL.
300	3. Product misrepresentation:



- 301a.Product misrepresentation is handled on a case-by-case basis and consequences may302include product delisting, suspensions, and/or fines. Fines will only be used as a last303resort to recover the costs associated with prolonged efforts to bring a manufacturer304into compliance.
- 305 4. Other/Miscellaneous:
- 306
- a. Situations not outlined in this policy will be handled at the sole discretion of the DLC.

G. Re-listing Products 307 Products which are: 308 1. Delisted due to declining the selection or non-response: 309 a. These products may be re-submitted through the normal application process no 310 earlier than six months after the date delisted. Normal application fees will be 311 assessed. 312 313 2. Delisted due to failing the Table 1 requirements: a. These products may be re-submitted through the normal application process with 314 315 new testing and new model numbers. The same model number may not be used 316 unless otherwise noted in Section F. Normal application fees will be assessed. 3. Delisted due to failing the Table 2 requirements: 317 a. These products may be re-submitted through the normal application process with 318 319 new testing. Normal application fees will be assessed. New model numbers are not 320 required. 321 b. Note that this does not apply to products that failed only the Table 2 requirements and were updated within the allotted timeframe. 322 323 4. Delisted due to failing Table 1 requirements, but surveillance testing data falls within the Table 2 tolerances: 324 325 a. These products may be re-submitted through the normal application process with 326 new testing. Normal application fees will be assessed. New model numbers are not 327 required. 328 b. Note: This applies only to the three metrics currently in Table 2. 329



# Key Questions for Draft 1 Surveillance Testing Policy, Horticultural Lighting Version 3.0

Version 3.0 Draft 1 proposes surveillance testing policy requirements to actively monitor the validity of
 data and other information for Hort QPL listed products to protect the integrity and value of the QPL for
 all stakeholders.

- The DLC is looking for input from accredited test labs regarding the proposed Table 2
   tolerances. Proposed Table 2 tolerances come from DLC SSL surveillance testing, and are
   based on industry input on acceptable tolerances for confirming listed products are
   performing as originally qualified. How do these tolerances compare to what performance
   differences may occur when testing a single product at two different accredited testing labs?
- What additional considerations should the DLC be aware of when determining how to activelymonitor the validity of data and other information for listed products?

