



Surveillance Testing Policy for Horticultural Lighting Technical Requirements Version 3.0

Planned Round 1:
Products selected beginning in Q1 2024



Contents

- 1. Objective3**
- 2. Surveillance Testing Program Processes3**
 - A. Product Selection 3
 - B. Notification of Selected Manufacturer 4
 - C. Invoice and Procurement..... 5
 - D. Product Testing and Evaluation 6
 - E. Appeals..... 8
 - F. Consequences..... 9
 - G. Relisting Products 11



1. Objective

The DLC Surveillance Testing Program actively monitors the validity of data and other information submitted to the DLC Horticultural Lighting Qualified Products List (Hort QPL) to protect the integrity and value of the QPL for all stakeholders. This policy outlines the process for selection of products from the QPL for surveillance testing and for verifying the safety certification documentation. The DLC may seek to implement additional efforts toward these objectives in future policy development cycles.

2. Surveillance Testing Program Processes

A series of steps comprise the DLC Surveillance Testing Program, described in the subsections that follow:

- A. Product selection
- B. Notification of selected manufacturer
- C. Invoice and procurement
- D. Product testing and evaluation
- E. Appeals
- F. Consequences
- G. Relisting products

A. Product Selection

1. To maximize the use of resources, the surveillance program will focus primarily on identifying products with a higher-than-average risk of noncompliance. The following criteria will be considered during the selection process to identify these products (applicable only to products listed to Horticultural Lighting Technical Requirements Version 3.0 or later):
 - a. Products whose performance information is close to exceeding the tolerance of the technical requirements under which they were qualified (e.g., a DC-powered product will be evaluated against the DC-specific requirements)
 - b. Products whose performance information greatly exceeds the technical requirements
 - c. Listed products with past application issues, including test reports with issues that lead the DLC to question the validity of the test data, supplemental documentation with issues that lead the DLC to question the validity of the documentation, and indications of product misrepresentation
 - d. Complaints from stakeholders; complaints require follow-up before a product is given further consideration
 - e. Products of manufacturers that were selected but chose not to participate in a previous surveillance testing round (see “Declining the selection” in **Section B**)
 - f. Products of manufacturers that have a history of failing results from previous surveillance testing rounds
 - g. Products randomly selected from the QPL

2. The frequency and the number of products selected through the Horticultural Surveillance Testing Program for each round of testing is at the sole discretion of the DLC. Product selection may focus on one of the criteria listed above or several. Regardless of the selection criteria, the metrics reported in the testing will remain constant, depending on the type of test ordered (i.e., integrating sphere or goniophotometer).
3. As always, manufacturers may voluntarily delist their products from the QPL at any time without penalty. In relation to the Surveillance Testing Program, this delisting must occur *prior* to being selected for testing to avoid potential consequences. Please email applications@designlights.org for more information on delisting products. Manufacturers should factor in their product performance data and possible risk for failure to determine if voluntarily removing products from the QPL prior to their being selected is appropriate. For example, products that qualified using tolerances to meet the technical requirements may carry a higher risk of not meeting the technical requirements during surveillance testing.
4. If a product and/or component necessary for testing is not available for procurement at the time of selection (i.e., it is no longer for sale or no longer manufactured), it will be considered as declining to participate. Exceptions will be considered for made-to-order products. Products that are no longer sold should be proactively removed from the QPL by the manufacturer.
5. Products may be subject to “double jeopardy”. If a product has been tested and passes through the Surveillance Testing Program, and has not been updated in any manner, it will not be selected again in the following round of surveillance testing.
6. Manufacturers who have three selections (or more) that all yield passing results within two consecutive rounds of surveillance testing will be granted an exemption from selection during the next round. This temporary exemption is estimated to last approximately 6 to 12 months.
7. Both original equipment manufacturer (OEM) and private labeled products are eligible for selection. All manufacturers, OEM and private labeler alike, are responsible for the data on the QPL associated with their products.

B. Notification of Selected Manufacturer

The DLC will notify the selected manufacturer by email using the contact information provided in the DLC Application Portal. If a given manufacturer account has multiple users, all users registered to the account will be notified. If a selection is accepted, only the manufacturer-designated contacts will be contacted for the remainder of that selection.

The selected manufacturer will have ten business days from the date of notification to respond to the selection email. Selected manufacturers have two options for responding: (1) accept the selection and continue with the surveillance testing process; or (2) decline the selection, which will result in the selected product and associated products being removed from the QPL. (See [Section F, Consequences](#), for further details.)

If no response is received within ten business days, or if there is no anticipated action by the manufacturer as determined by the DLC, the selected product and associated products will be delisted. (See [Section F](#) for further details.) Selected manufacturers may seek additional information

about the selection during this ten-day period; however, action will be taken on the eleventh day of the period.

1. Accepting the selection:
 - a. If the selected manufacturer agrees to move forward, the investigation will begin.
 - b. Accepting the selection indicates that the product can be procured within a reasonable timeframe (eight weeks unless otherwise agreed upon with the DLC at the time of acceptance).
2. Declining the selection:
 - a. The selected manufacturer has the option to decline to participate, which will result in the product and all associated products being removed from the QPL. (For further information on consequences, see [Section F.](#))

C. Invoice and Procurement

Product investigation will remain confidential between the selected manufacturer, testing lab, and the DLC. Outside parties, including other manufacturers, distributors, and other end users will not have access to investigation information. DLC Member utilities may have access to limited information.

1. Invoicing:
 - a. After the DLC receives completed acceptance documentation, an invoice will be sent to the manufacturer to cover surveillance program costs.
 - b. If the invoice is not paid within 30 days, the product, as well as any associated products, will be removed from the QPL. (See [Section F](#) for further information on consequences.) Any issues regarding paying within the allotted timeframe must be discussed with the DLC upon receipt of the invoice.
 - c. Product procurement will not begin until the invoice for that selection has been paid and processed.
 - d. Manufacturers opting for a wire transfer must pay the fees associated with the transfer of funds.
2. Product procurement:
 - a. The DLC may procure products from any number of sources but will primarily procure directly from the manufacturer.
 - b. The number of samples required for surveillance testing will be equivalent to the number needed in the original qualification testing, unless otherwise stated.
3. If chosen, manufacturers are required to supply the product as it would be supplied to a customer. It should be identical to what a customer would receive and should go through the same internal processes. Supplying a sample(s) which does not meet these criteria may result

in the selected product being found noncompliant (with associated consequences; see [Section F](#)).

- a. Samples used for surveillance testing shall not be the same samples tested and submitted previously for qualification.
 - b. Product prototypes or “engineering samples” may not be used for surveillance testing.
4. Any components required between the electrical mains and the product (such as a remote power source (e.g., a driver) for a DC product) must also be supplied to the lab by the selected manufacturer during the procurement phase. To minimize confusion, these components should be shipped at the same time as the product.
 5. Actively cooled products will be tested in accordance with the manufacturer’s externally supplied circulating liquid specifications. The DLC may request additional information or any necessary components in order to perform the required testing.
 6. Products are expected to be shipped within eight weeks of procurement information having been sent. Products expected to take more than eight weeks must be disclosed to the DLC at the time the selection is accepted, and an explanation must be provided. In certain cases, a substitution may be allowed, at the sole discretion of the DLC surveillance team.
 7. An OEM who does not stock the product or does not otherwise have the samples required for testing may arrange (of their own accord) to have the equivalent model from one of their private labelers procured and tested instead. Similarly, a private labeler may have the equivalent OEM product procured and tested instead. In either case, the selected manufacturer must inform the surveillance testing team prior to, or within five business days of, receiving procurement information. The DLC will confirm that this is acceptable, pending review of the Private Label Agreements on file from the original DLC qualification.
 8. Manufacturers must select one of two options for their product after testing is complete:
 - a. The product is returned (at manufacturer expense).
 - b. The product is destroyed and discarded by the laboratory.

If an option is not specified by the time testing is complete, the DLC reserves the right to dispose of the product.

D. Product Testing and Evaluation

Product Testing Procedures

1. Testing will be conducted only by pre-approved labs contracted by the DLC for surveillance testing. Approved laboratories were determined from responses to a request for proposal (RFP) issued by the DLC. Specific labs will be chosen for any individual investigation at the DLC’s discretion. All labs contracted for surveillance testing must meet the same requirements as required for testing for original DLC qualification.

2. The metrics to be tested will be dependent on the type of test (integrating sphere or goniophotometer) being performed. Measurements made in surveillance testing will be at full output or a non-dimmed state.
3. Dual Mode replacement lamp products (UL Type A/B) will be tested using an approved ballast. The ballast must be sent by the manufacturer with the product, as described in paragraph 4 of [Section C](#).
4. The test lab will look for any obvious signs that the product is not performing as intended (e.g., inability to stabilize the product). The manufacturer will be notified in those cases, and testing will resume once the issue has been resolved. This may necessitate procurement of new samples (at manufacturer expense).

Product Evaluation

The DLC will evaluate every product against two tables. **Table 1** is used to verify that the product meets the technical requirements. **Table 2** is used to ensure not only that the product meets the technical requirements, but also that the information listed on the QPL is accurate. A snapshot of the QPL will be taken at the time of selection, and that data along with the originally submitted application information will be compared to the data taken during surveillance testing. Any effort to update a selected product after notification will not be considered unless agreed upon with the DLC prior to the update.

Table 1. Verifying the Product Meets the Technical Requirements

| Metric | Requirement(s) | Tolerance |
|--------------|----------------|----------------------|
| PPE | ≥2.3 | -5% |
| Power Factor | ≥90% | -3 percentage points |
| THD | ≤20% | +5 percentage points |

Table 2. Verifying Accuracy of QPL Product Data

| Metric | Tolerance |
|---|--|
| PPF Output | ±10% |
| System Wattage | ±12.7% |
| PPID | ±10% zonal PPF (0-30, 0-60, and 0-90) |
| Spectral Output | ±10% within all 100 nm “bins” (400-500 nm, 500-600 nm, and 600-700 nm) |
| Beam Angle (linear replacement lamps and 2G11 lamps only) | -5° |

1. Product spec sheets will be reviewed for appropriate and accurate product representation (i.e., the product received during surveillance testing is identical to the product that qualified for the QPL). This will include review of the spec sheet submitted for qualification and may include review of spec sheets found in the marketplace.

- a. As an example, a sample product with a form factor (i.e., shape and dimensions) that has changed since qualification would not be allowed under the Surveillance Testing Policy.
2. Upon completion of testing, the DLC will review the results. The established tolerances (see **Tables 1** and **2**) will be applied to the test data to verify compliance.
 - a. When reviewing against **Table 1**:
 - i. Test data will be reviewed for parent products. Reported data of parent products found to be noncompliant with policy will be corrected outside of surveillance testing.
 - ii. Child products will be evaluated against reported data only.
 - b. When reviewing against **Table 2**:
 - i. Both the test data and the QPL's reported data will be reviewed for parent products. These products will only be considered noncompliant if they fail to meet the **Table 2** tolerances for both the test data *and* the reported data.
 - ii. Child products will be evaluated against reported data only.
3. Upon review of the test results, the DLC will notify the manufacturer of the results with a final ruling on the outcome of the testing. The potential outcomes are:
 - a. The sample meets or exceeds the DLC technical requirements and tests within the **Table 2** tolerances: the product is considered compliant, and no further action is needed.
 - b. The sample fails to meet the DLC technical requirements when using the **Table 1** tolerances: the product is considered noncompliant. (See [Section F](#) for consequences.)
 - c. The sample meets the DLC technical requirements but falls outside the **Table 2** tolerances: the product is considered noncompliant. (See [Section F](#) for consequences.)

E. Appeals

1. The manufacturer of the selected product will have the option to appeal the results. This process must be started within five business days of receiving the results from the DLC. Any fees required to investigate the appeal will be at the sole responsibility of the manufacturer requesting the appeal. Appeals are only applicable to the results of testing; there is no appeal process for the consequences enforced. The product(s) may be delisted from the QPL upon failure and during the appeals process. If the original ruling is overturned, the product(s) will return to the QPL with the original date of qualification at the conclusion of the appeal.
2. An appeal must include:
 - a. Sufficient detail (with technical justification) to address the reason for questioning the validity of the test results, as well as a remedy to the situation.

- b. Agreement to pay the fees associated with the appeal. Fees will be based on administrative cost of the appeal and the fees associated with any additional required testing or product procurement to resolve the appeal.
3. The following are some examples of items that will not be considered during the appeals process:
 - a. Manufacturer indicating a change to a supplier's process
 - b. The wrong product was sent
 - c. Different test data on the same product with no technical justification
4. The DLC will review the appeal and reserves the right to ask for additional information or to reject the appeal if sufficient information to explain the situation cannot be provided. Appeals will be either accepted or rejected.
 - a. Accepted: An accepted appeal may require additional product testing. If so, the procedures listed above (for procurement and testing) will be repeated. Any new test results will be used to make a final determination of the tested product's performance.
 - b. Rejected: If an appeal is rejected, the original failure ruling will stand, and the product(s) will remain delisted from QPL.
5. The manufacturer will be notified at the end of the appeals process as to the results of the appeal. Appeal results are final.
6. Products will not be returned to the manufacturer until the entirety of the process, including appeals, has concluded.

F. Consequences

This section provides a summary of consequences that may be implemented due to noncompliance with DLC policy. Additional consequences may be imposed at the discretion of the DLC. The intent of any consequence is to ensure that products that have been listed with unreliable data on the QPL are subject to appropriate corrective actions.

Noncompliance During Product Testing

1. The selected product fails to meet the DLC technical requirements using **Table 1** (see [Section D](#)) tolerances:
 - a. **First instance:** A product that fails surveillance testing for the first time will be removed from the QPL. Products associated with the failed product will also be delisted; this includes all family members (regardless of whether the selected product was a parent or child product) and private labels. If the selection was a private labeled product, this means that the equivalent OEM product, as well as any other equivalent private labels, will be delisted. DLC Members will have access to generalized information about products that have been removed from the QPL due to surveillance testing.

- b. **Second instance:** All first instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to 12 months. A suspension prohibits the manufacturer from submitting or qualifying any products during that timeframe.
 - c. **Third instance:** All first and second instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer's remaining products on the QPL, including private labels, may be delisted until compliance is assured.
2. Selected product meets DLC technical requirements but falls outside **Table 2** (see [Section D](#)) tolerances:
- a. **First instance:**
 - i. **Parent product:** The manufacturer is required to update the individual product on the QPL (at the full application fee), or may opt to have the product delisted. If the manufacturer chooses to update the product, [an update application](#) must be submitted within 15 business days of receiving the results. If this time elapses without an update application having been submitted, all associated child products and private labels (if selected product was an OEM) will be delisted. If selected product was a private labeled product, the OEM's product will not automatically be delisted. The selected product's family, as well as the equivalent family from any private labeler, may be flagged for additional screening in a future round of testing.
 - ii. **Child product:** The manufacturer is required to update the individual product on the QPL (at the full application fee), or may opt to have the product delisted. If the manufacturer chooses to update the product, [an update application](#) must be submitted within 15 business days of receiving the results. If this time elapses without an update application having been submitted, the product will be delisted. If selected product was a private labeled product, the OEM's product will not automatically be delisted. The selected product's family, as well as the equivalent family from any private labeler, may be flagged for additional screening in a future round of testing.

Note: If a child product fails the **Table 2** requirements and the data demonstrates that it should become the new worst-case product in the family (i.e., it should be a parent), the whole family will be delisted. The manufacturer must [submit an update application](#) to ensure compliance. New model numbers are not required. The new family may be flagged for additional screening in a future round.
 - b. **Second instance:** All first instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to three months.
 - c. **Third instance:** All first and second instance consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer's remaining products on the QPL, including private labels, may be delisted until compliance is assured.

Noncompliance Outside of Product Testing (During Selection for Surveillance Testing)

1. Manufacturer declines to move forward with the selection.
 - a. **First time declining:**
 - i. **OEM:** The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. Any delisted products will have their associated private labeled products delisted.
 - ii. **Private labeler:** The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. OEM products will not be delisted.
 - iii. **Both:** Increased likelihood of another product from the manufacturer being chosen for surveillance testing.
 - b. **Second time declining:** All first-time declining consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to six months, including delisting of other products currently listed on the QPL.
2. Manufacturer misses a published deadline (e.g., response to notification, invoice deadline, procurement deadline).
 - a. **OEM:** The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. Any delisted products will have their associated private labeled products delisted.
 - b. **Private labeler:** The selected product will be delisted. If it was a parent product, the whole family will be delisted. OEM products will not be delisted.
 - c. **Multiple missed deadlines (OEM or private labeler):** All first-time consequences. Additionally, the manufacturer may be suspended from the DLC program for a period of up to six months, including delisting of other products currently listed on the QPL.
3. Product misrepresentation:
 - a. Product misrepresentation is handled on a case-by-case basis. Consequences may include product delisting, suspensions, and/or fines. Fines will only be used as a last resort to recover the costs associated with prolonged efforts to bring a manufacturer into compliance.
4. Other:
 - a. Situations not outlined in this policy will be handled at the sole discretion of the DLC.

G. Relisting Products

This section describes the processes by which delisted products may be relisted on the QPL.

1. Products delisted due to manufacturer declining or not responding to the selection notification:

- a. These products may be resubmitted through [the normal application process](#) no sooner than six months after the date they were delisted. Normal application fees will be assessed.
2. Products delisted due to failing the **Table 1** (see [Section D](#)) requirements:
 - a. These products may be resubmitted through [the normal application process](#) with *new testing and new model numbers*. The same model number may not be used unless otherwise noted in [Section F](#). Normal application fees will be assessed.
3. Products delisted due to failing the **Table 2** (see [Section D](#)) requirements:
 - a. These products may be resubmitted through [the normal application process](#) with new testing. Normal application fees will be assessed. New model numbers are not required. *Note:* This does not apply to products that failed only the **Table 2** requirements and were updated within the allotted timeframe.
4. Products delisted due to failing **Table 1** (see [Section D](#)) requirements, *but* surveillance testing data falls within the **Table 2** tolerances:
 - a. These products may be re-submitted through [the normal application process](#) with new testing. Normal application fees will be assessed. New model numbers are not required. *Note:* This applies only to the metrics currently in **Table 2**.